

PROTOCOL

Open Access



# The effects of aerobic, resistance, and meditative movement exercise on sleep in individuals with depression: protocol for a systematic review and network meta-analysis

Gavin Brupbacher<sup>1,2\*</sup> , Heike Gerger<sup>3</sup>, Monika Wechsler<sup>4</sup>, Thea Zander-Schellenberg<sup>5</sup>, Doris Straus<sup>2</sup>, Hildburg Porschke<sup>2</sup>, Markus Gerber<sup>6</sup>, Roland von Känel<sup>7</sup> and Arno Schmidt-Trucksäss<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** The main objective of this review is to assess the effects of aerobic, resistance, and meditative movement exercise on sleep quality in patients with unipolar depression. A secondary goal is to ascertain the effects on sleep duration, sleepiness, daytime functioning, use of hypnotics, and adverse events.

**Methods:** A systematic computerized search will be performed in the following online databases: PubMed, EMBASE (on Ovid), Cochrane Library, PsycINFO (on Ovid), SPORTDiscus (on EBSCOhost), CINHAL (on EBSCOhost), [Clinicaltrials.gov](http://Clinicaltrials.gov), WHO International Clinical Trials Registry, OpenGrey, and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Bibliographies of all included studies as well as any other relevant reviews identified via the search will be screened. Randomized trials using aerobic, resistance, or meditative movement exercise interventions which target sleep as a primary or secondary outcome will be included. The primary outcome will be differences in sleep quality at post-intervention. Secondary outcomes will be adverse events, differences in sleep duration, daytime sleepiness and functioning, and the use of hypnotics at post-intervention. Two authors will independently screen the identified records. Disagreement will be resolved by consensus or if no consensus can be reached by adjudication of a designated third reviewer. Data extraction will be done independently by two authors using a standardized and piloted data extraction sheet. Bias in individual studies will be assessed using the revised Cochrane risk of bias tool. The certainty of evidence across all outcomes will be evaluated using the CINeMA (Confidence in Network Meta-Analysis) framework. A frequentist network meta-analysis will be conducted. The systematic review and network meta-analysis will be presented according to the PRISMA for Network Meta-Analyses (PRISMA-NMA) guideline.

**Discussion:** This systematic review and network meta-analysis will provide a synthesis of the currently available evidence concerning the effects of aerobic, resistance, and meditative movement exercises on sleep in patients with unipolar depression. Thereby, we hope to accelerate the consolidation of evidence and inform decision-makers on potential benefits and harms.

(Continued on next page)

\* Correspondence: [gavin.brupbacher@unibas.ch](mailto:gavin.brupbacher@unibas.ch)

<sup>1</sup>Division of Sports and Exercise Medicine, Department of Sport, Exercise and Health, University of Basel, Birsstrasse 320 B, 4052 Basel, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Oberwaid AG, Rorschacher Strasse 311, 9016 St. Gallen, Switzerland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



(Continued from previous page)

**Systematic review registration:** The protocol has been registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration number: CRD42019115705).

**Keywords:** Exercise, Aerobic, Resistance, Meditative movement, Depression, Sleep, Randomized, Trial, Systematic review, Network meta-analysis, Protocol

## Background

### Description of the condition

Worldwide lifetime prevalence of unipolar depression is estimated to be 10% [1]. Unipolar depression is the leading cause of burden of disease in middle- and high-income countries and is projected to become the leading cause worldwide by 2030 [2]. The economic burden of unipolar major depression alone was estimated to be \$210 billion in the USA in 2010 [3]. In addition to the direct and often debilitating symptoms, unipolar depression also substantially increases the risk of all-cause mortality [4] and morbidity [5].

One of the diagnostic criteria for depression according to DSM-V [6] and ICD-10 [7] is disturbed sleep, i.e., insomnia or hypersomnia. This is reflected by the high prevalence (up to 90%) of co-occurring insomnia in individuals with depression [8]. Insomnia has a negative impact on health-related quality of life and daytime functioning [9, 10]. Moreover, sleep disturbances have been recognized as a mechanistic (i.e., causal or bidirectional) process in depression. Sleep problems are an independent risk factor for depression [11]. Depression and insomnia are linked in a bidirectional manner [12]. Comorbid sleep disorders have a negative influence on the disease trajectory in depressive disorders [13]. Some of the most prevalent residual symptoms after treatment response or remission in this clinical population are insomnia symptoms [14, 15]. This is pertinent because persistent sleep disorders increase the likelihood of relapse [13, 16, 17]. Sleep disorders are an independent risk factor for suicide [18, 19].

According to the British national (NICE) treatment guidelines, individuals with depression are to be treated with psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy [20]. However, antidepressants are of limited efficacy [21] and can cause considerable adverse effects. In a primary care setting the number needed to treat (NNT) for improvement of depressive symptoms by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors is approximately seven whereas the number needed to harm (NNH) (withdrawal due to side effects) is estimated to be between 20 and 29 [22]. A combination of antidepressants and benzodiazepine receptor agonists (Z-drugs) in patients with depression, similarly, has been shown to be effective (NNT = 10) but causes considerable adverse events (NNH = 20) [23]. Due to the frequency of adverse side effects, non-adherence to antidepressants is high and associated with decreased remission rates, increased risk of relapse, and increased health

care utilization [24]. Hypnotics are frequently prescribed for insomnia. However, they have a poor benefit-to-risk ratio with serious adverse effects including cognitive impairment, injury from falls and automobile accidents (including in younger individuals), cancer, suicide, and hypnotic withdrawal insomnia [25, 26]. In light of this evidence, interest in adjuvant and alternative therapies, especially exercise, has increased in the last decade.

### Description of the intervention

The effects of exercise on depressive symptoms have been summarized in multiple meta-analyses [27–38]. Systematic reviews found moderate-to-large effect sizes for aerobic [28], resistance [36] as well as yoga [35] exercises on depression. Moreover, no significant differences between these interventions and antidepressant medication were found [28, 35]. The effect of other meditative movement exercises such as qi gong and tai chi seems to be positive, albeit less pronounced [37, 38]. Aerobic exercise interventions in depressive patients have also been found to improve cardiorespiratory fitness [27]. This is relevant because depression is known to increase the risk of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity [29, 30].

Current data suggest that exercise might be a suitable therapeutic option to improve sleep quality. Aerobic exercise has been shown to have positive acute (during the night immediately following exercise) and chronic (over several weeks) effects on sleep in healthy individuals with small-to-moderate effect sizes [39, 40]. These findings have been replicated in populations with sleep complaints [39, 41–43] and chronic disorders [44–49] and confirmed by a meta-analysis of previous meta-analyses [50]. A recent meta-analysis also found moderate-to-large effect sizes for mainly chronic resistance training on sleep quality [51]. Lastly, numerous meta-analyses show a positive effect of meditative movement on sleep quality in a variety of patient [49, 52] and elderly [53] populations. However, to the knowledge of the authors, no systematic review concerning the effect of exercise on sleep in patients with depression has been performed.

### Potential mechanisms of action

Although the etiology of insomnia (with or without comorbidities) is not yet fully understood, hyperarousal is widely considered a causal and maintaining factor [54–56].

Multiple mechanisms of action, including ones which involve hyperarousal, have been proposed to explain the effect exercise has on sleep (confer the reviews of Buman and King (2010) [57] and Uchida et al. 2012 [58] for aerobic exercise). Insomniacs have been shown to have impaired thermoregulation [59]. Chronic exercise, on the other hand, improves thermoregulation [60, 61]. Increased skin temperature, which occurs during and immediately after acute aerobic [62], resistance [63], and meditative movement [61, 64] exercise, seems to modulate neural circuits in a way which might be conducive to sleep [65]. Exercise causes changes in the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines [66], growth hormone [67, 68], and brain-derived neurotrophic factor [69–71] which seem to play a role in the regulation of sleep [72–74]. Aerobic [28], resistance [51], and meditative movement [75] exercise have positive effects on anxiety as well as depression and might thereby reduce psychophysiological arousal. Although it is not fully understood why humans sleep, one hypothesis states that humans sleep to optimize restorative processes [76]. Aerobic and resistance exercise increase energy expenditure and require muscle repair, thus stimulating such restorative processes. Aerobic exercise has also been shown to consistently produce phase shifts (i.e., changes in circadian rhythm within the 24 h cycle) in individuals of different ages and fitness levels. This effect has been found in individuals irrespective of age and cardiorespiratory fitness as well as independent from the effect of light. [77]. Therefore, aerobic exercise may act as a so-called ‘zeitgeber’ positively affecting entrainment (i.e., the synchronization of the endogenous and exogenous rhythms). It should be noted that it is unclear whether the mechanisms of action differ between insomniacs with and without psychiatric comorbidity.

### Why it is important to do this review

The rationale for this review can be summarized in four points. (1) Sleep disturbances are of high prognostic relevance for remission in depression [11]. (2) Current therapies have a dissatisfactory benefit-to-risk-ratio. (3) Exercise has been shown to have positive effects on depression [28, 36, 75] as well as sleep [39, 41, 49, 51, 53]. (4) To the best of our knowledge, no systematic review has been performed to ascertain the effects of aerobic, resistance, and meditative movement exercise on sleep in people with depression.

The main objective of this review is to assess the effects of aerobic, resistance, and meditative movement exercise on sleep quality in patients with depression. A secondary goal is to ascertain the effects of exercise on sleep duration, sleepiness, daytime functioning, use of hypnotics, and adverse events (e.g., injuries, cardiovascular incidences).

### Methods

Before initiation of the project, a search in relevant databases (including PROSPERO) showed no prior or ongoing systematic review of this subject. This systematic review protocol has been reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines [78] (see Additional file 1). Accordingly, the protocol for this study was published in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews database (PROSPERO) [79] on 13th February 2019 (PROSPERO CRD42019115705). Should any amendments to this protocol be necessary, they will be documented on the PROSPERO platform. The systematic review and network meta-analysis itself will be presented according to the PRISMA Extension Statement for Reporting of Systematic Reviews Incorporating Network Meta-analyses of Health Care Interventions [80].

### Eligibility criteria

#### Population

Only studies on adult humans ( $\geq 18$  years old) of either sex with either a medical diagnosis of unipolar depression or presence of significant depressive symptoms as determined by a validated instrument (e.g., Beck Depression Inventory [81], Research Diagnostic Criteria [82], International Classification of Disease [7], or Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental disorders [6]) will be included. Studies will be excluded if subjects had another substantial somatic disorder which might cause the depressive symptoms (i.e., primary symptoms are not depression) or if subjects were working night-shifts.

#### Intervention

Included trials must allocate subjects to at least one of the following: aerobic, resistance, or meditative movement exercise intervention. Aerobic exercise is defined as “any exercise that primarily uses the aerobic energy-producing systems, can improve the capacity and efficiency of these systems, and is effective for improving cardiorespiratory endurance” [83]. Resistance exercise is defined as “is exercise that causes muscles to work or hold against an applied force or weight” [84]. Meditative movement exercise is defined as a combination of some form of movement or body positioning, breathing, and relaxation [85]. The intervention can be acute (a single bout of exercise) or chronic (repeated exposure). We have not placed restrictions on the duration of the intervention period in order to include the maximum number of trials in this review. Potential statistical heterogeneity or inconsistency due to this factor will be explored (see below). No restrictions are placed on the setting (e.g., laboratory, outdoors), the social context (e.g., individual, group), or the level of supervision (e.g., not guided, under the supervision of an exercise

professional). Exercise can be part of a multicomponent intervention. Multicomponent interventions in which exercise was not a dominant part (i.e., exercise was one of four or more intervention modules) will be excluded.

### **Comparison**

Trials have to allocate participants to aerobic, resistance, or meditative movement exercise vs. a comparison group. There are no restrictions on the comparison group (e.g., pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, other exercise intervention).

### **Outcomes**

Included trials must measure the effect of aerobic, resistance, or meditative movement exercise on sleep quality. This can be operationalized using self-reports or observer ratings.

### **Study type**

In order to be eligible, trials must have employed randomized allocation.

### **Publication status**

Studies are included regardless of whether or not they are published in a peer-reviewed journal. The use of unpublished trials in reviews is a controversial topic. Reviews have found that exclusion of gray literature may lead to an overestimation of effect size [86, 87]. On the other hand, van Driel et al. (2009) have shown that unpublished trials have poor or unclear methodological quality [88]. Therefore, methodological quality is considered when deciding whether the network meta-analysis is valid and if the number of studies allows it, subgroup analyses will include methodological quality.

### **Language**

Articles written in English or German will be included. Articles in any other language will be included if a translation is made available. Any article which might be relevant, but could not be included due to the aforementioned language constraints will be listed in an appendix.

### **Information sources**

Multiple sources will be used in this systematic review. A systematic computerized search will be performed in the following online databases: PubMed (on [PubMed.gov](http://pubmed.gov)), EMBASE (on Ovid), Cochrane Library (on [cochranelibrary-wiley.com](http://cochranelibrary-wiley.com)), PsycINFO (on Ovid), SPORTDiscus (on EBSCOhost), and CINHALL (on EBSCOhost). OpenGrey (on [opengrey.eu](http://opengrey.eu)) and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses A&I (on [proquest.com](http://proquest.com)) will be searched to include gray literature. Bibliographies of all included studies as well as any other relevant reviews identified via the

search will be screened. [Clinicaltrials.gov](http://Clinicaltrials.gov) and WHO International Clinical Trials Registry will be searched in order to identify ongoing as well as unpublished studies. Due to lack of controlled vocabulary and restricted length of search strings on these websites, a modified query will be used. Authors of included studies will be contacted via e-mail in order to inquire whether they know of any other relevant publications. All databases will be searched from their inception to the search date.

### **Search strategy**

The search strategy will be constructed using the PICOS (patient, intervention, comparison, outcome, study design) framework. The search string will be comprised of controlled vocabulary whenever possible and free text. These terms (including appropriate truncation) will be selected in an iterative scoping search using the PICOS approach as well as backward and forward chaining. The study design component will be identified using the “Cochrane highly sensitive search strategies for identifying randomized trials” [89] and translated according to the database. Terms within each group will be combined with a Boolean “OR” and groups will be combined using a Boolean “AND” command. The PubMed search strategy (see Additional file 2) was adapted according to the controlled vocabulary in each database (see Additional file 2). The search strategy has been reviewed by an information scientist from the Basel Medical University Library using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies (PRESS) guideline [90]. Test searches have been performed in order to ensure the validity of the search string.

### **Study records**

#### **Data management**

All records identified in the databases will be collected in the reference management software EndNote® X8 (Thomson Reuters, New York, NY). However, deduplication will be performed using the Systematic Review Assistant-Deduplication Module. This software has been shown to have superior sensitivity and specificity in the deduplication process when compared with EndNote [91].

#### **Selection process**

Upon deduplication, records will be screened in two stages. Firstly, the title and the abstract of all records will be screened against the aforementioned inclusion and exclusion criteria (possible assessments: no (an exclusion criterion is found in title or abstract), maybe or yes (inclusion and exclusion cannot be definitively assessed or study is deemed to fulfill all criteria)). Secondly, full texts of all articles which were not excluded in the first stage will be reviewed to determine whether all relevant criteria are met. Both stages will be performed

independently by two reviewers (GB and TZS) who will not be blinded to any information (e.g., author, journal, institutions). We do not blind the reviewers, since there is empirical evidence that blinding has little to no effect in meta-analyses [92]. Disagreement will be resolved by consensus. If no consensus can be reached, disagreement will be resolved by adjudication of a designated third reviewer (AST). An online systematic review software, Covidence [93], will be used to judge eligibility, resolve issues, and document the screening processes.

Before the actual screening process begins, both reviewers will screen 50 randomly selected articles in order to assure an adequate inter-rater agreement (Cohen's kappa > 0.80). Should this goal not be reached, this process will be repeated until the defined level of agreement is reached. Inter-rater agreement will be reported using raw agreement in percent and Cohen's kappa since both have respective strengths and limitations [94]. Furthermore, the number of disagreements solved by discussion and arbitration by the third reviewer will be stated. A flow diagram according to the PRISMA guidelines [95] will illustrate the number and the reasons for excluded and included citations.

#### **Data collection process**

A standardized data extraction form will be created in Excel on the basis of the Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group's data extraction template [96] and the DECIMAL guide [97]. This form will be tested against a subset of studies found in the scoping search and adapted accordingly before data extraction. Both reviewers (GB and TZS) will extract data independently. Authors will be contacted should data be missing. (The corresponding author will initially be contacted via e-mail with one additional reminder e-mail, should there be no response within 2 weeks. Subsequently, the other authors will be contacted). Disagreement will be resolved by consensus upon consulting the original paper or if no consensus can be reached, disagreement will be resolved by adjudication of a designated third reviewer (AST). To avoid the inclusion of double publications of one study, authors, treatment comparisons, sample sizes, and outcomes of the included studies will be compared. We will include the publication which has the most information pertinent to the meta-analysis.

#### **Data items**

For the calculation of relative treatment effects group means, corresponding standard deviations and group sizes will be extracted primarily. In case one of these values was missing, other statistical data that can be converted into means and standard deviations will be extracted. Conversions will be calculated according to formulas provided, e.g., [98, 99]. If standard deviations

cannot be calculated from the available study information, we will impute them using the standard deviations reported in the other included studies [100]. We will conduct sensitivity analyses excluding studies in which standard deviations had to be imputed. If the  $N$  was missing in the table of analysis, we will use the  $N$  of the descriptive statistics. If studies report medians and inter-quartile ranges, a normal distribution will be assumed, if not indicated otherwise, to convert these values to means and standard deviations [98]. If studies only report adjusted outcome values, data will be extracted, but sensitivity analyses will be calculated without these studies to check for possible bias. We plan to extract the effect size provided by the study authors only if no other information was available for effect size calculation. If it is not possible to impute appropriate measures for the calculation of effect sizes, and no effect sizes are reported we will contact the authors.

Among others, the following information will be extracted from each study:

- Information on the study itself (e.g., title, publication date, authors)
- Methods (e.g., objective, design, number of participants included in the analysis)
- Risk of bias assessment (Cochrane revised risk of bias tool) [101]
- Setting (non-clinical vs. clinical, inpatient vs. outpatient)
- Participants (i.e., mean age, inclusion and exclusion criteria, severity of depression, diagnostic tool)
- Intervention (i.e., frequency, intensity, duration, type of exercise)
- Comparisons (comparator conditions)
- Outcomes (primary and secondary outcomes, adverse events)
- Results (mean and standard deviation of outcomes pre- and post-intervention as well as follow-up)
- Self-report vs. observer rating
- Duration of follow-up

#### **Outcomes and prioritization**

The primary outcome will be standardized mean differences (SMD) of sleep quality at post-exercise-intervention and at the last available follow-up assessment, measured by self-reports (e.g., PSQI [102], ISI [103]) or clinician ratings (sleep-related HAM-D items [104]).

Secondary outcomes will be:

1. SMD of sleep duration at post-exercise intervention and at last available follow-up assessment (measured objectively or subjectively)
2. SMD of daytime functioning at post-exercise intervention and at last available follow-up assessment,

- measured by self-reports (e.g., Insomnia impact scale [105])
3. SMD of sleepiness at post-intervention and at last available follow-up assessment, measured by self-reports (e.g., Epworth sleepiness scale [106])
  4. SMD of hypnotics use at post-intervention and at last available follow-up assessment, measured by self-reports
  5. SMD of any adverse events as defined by Good Clinical Practice guidelines [107] (e.g., pain, falls, injuries, dizziness, myocardial infarction)

The rationale for the selection of the primary outcome is that perceived sleep quality, i.e., difficulties initiating or maintaining sleep or early morning awakening is one of the main complaints in insomnia. Reduced sleep duration [108] and daytime impairments are a further important category of complaints, markedly increasing the perceived need for treatment [109]. Adverse events must be considered in order to inform decision-makers on the benefit-to-risk ratio of an exercise intervention.

#### Risk of bias in individual studies

The risk of bias will be evaluated independently by two reviewers (GB and TZS) at the study level. Disagreement will be resolved by consensus or if no consensus can be reached, disagreement will be resolved by adjudication of a designated third reviewer (AST). Bias will be assessed using the revised Cochrane risk of bias tool [101]. This tool assesses five domains: (1) randomization process, (2) deviations from intended interventions, (3) missing outcome data, (4) measurement of outcomes, and (5) selection of reported interventions. The three possible judgments are possible: low risk, some concerns, and high risk of bias. A summary table of bias assessment on study level will be included in the publication. These assessments will contribute to the evaluation of overall confidence in the findings of the network meta-analysis using the CINeMA framework [110].

#### Data synthesis

Data will be synthesized descriptively. A summary table of included studies will entail information on the authors, population characteristics (diagnostic criteria, baseline severity of sleep quality, depression, age, and numbers), interventions (exposure in each group), outcomes measures used, and results (sleep quality, sleep duration). Network meta-analysis will be performed. Statistical (number of studies and heterogeneity of results), clinical (heterogeneous populations), and methodological (low quality of trials or follow-up duration) aspects will be considered to decide whether network meta-analysis is valid. If network meta-analysis results must be deemed methodologically inaccurate, a pairwise meta-analysis will be considered.

Should a pairwise meta-analysis also not be possible, studies will be summarized narratively.

The package *netmeta* [111] for the open-source software environment R [112] will be used to calculate network meta-analyses within a frequentist framework.

A network will be created including all available jointly randomizable treatments. We assume that any patient that meets all inclusion criteria is likely, in principle, to be randomized to any of the interventions in the synthesis comparator set.

We will address the assumption of transitivity which underlies network meta-analysis [113], by (1) assessing whether the included interventions are similar across studies using a different design, and (2) checking whether the distribution of potential moderators is balanced across comparisons [114]. A priori we have defined depression severity, comorbidities, age, and gender as potential effect modifiers and will evaluate the comparability of the respective characteristics across comparisons qualitatively.

We expect considerable diversity of outcome measures and will, therefore, calculate standardized mean differences (SMD) using Hedge's *g* with 95% confidence intervals [115]. SMD is the mean difference between groups divided by the pooled standard deviation. The effect size measure allows comparison of effect sizes across similar measurements of a single outcome. The conventional and somewhat arbitrary classification of SMD proposed by Cohen (1988) [116] has been expanded to include very small (.01), small (0.2), medium (0.5), large (0.8), very large (1.2), and huge (2.0) effect sizes [117]. Random-effects pairwise SMDs across studies will be calculated based on the available comparisons between treatment and comparator treatments [118]. Inverse variance weighting is used for pooling. In addition, indirect evidence will be estimated using the entire network of evidence. Random-effects *netmeta* accounts for dependencies between comparisons in case of multi-arm trials [119]. The command *pairwise* will be used in case of multi-arm trials, in order to transform the dataset to the comparison level, which is needed for conducting the network meta-analysis.

The primary outcome will be SMD of sleep quality assessed via self- or observer-reported measures. If more than one primary outcome is reported, the most frequently used scale will be included in the analysis to reduce between-study heterogeneity. If possible, we will assess the association between instruments and changes in sleep quality. Two individual analyses will be run for the outcome data at the end of treatment, and the last available follow-up. Separate network meta-analyses will be conducted for secondary outcomes if possible. Results from network meta-analysis will be presented as summary SMD for each possible pair of treatments.

Whenever possible, measures of uncertainty will be reported in the form of the 95% confidence interval and 95% prediction interval.

To calculate statistical heterogeneity between studies on the pairwise level, the  $Q$  statistic will be used [89]. Further  $\tau^2$  will be analyzed to estimate the variance caused by the distribution of the true study means [120].  $I^2$  will be evaluated to indicate the amount of observed variance that can be attributed to between-study heterogeneity [121].  $I^2$  and the corresponding confidence interval can be interpreted as the percentage of overall heterogeneity that is due to variation of the true effects. An  $I^2$  value of 0% to 40% might not be important, 30 to 60% may represent moderate heterogeneity, 50 to 90% may represent substantial heterogeneity, and 75 to 100% considerable heterogeneity [89]. In NMA, we will assume a common estimate for the heterogeneity variance across the different comparisons.

Local and global methods will be used to detect inconsistency [122]. The presence of inconsistency will be evaluated using the following approaches: (1) locally using the netsplit command (i.e., testing the difference between estimates derived from direct evidence and estimates derived from indirect estimates for statistical significance) and (2) globally using the decomp.design command (i.e., using the design-by-treatment interaction model). For this purpose, the total  $Q$  statistic (i.e., the measure of total heterogeneity/inconsistency in the network) will be decomposed to an inconsistency factor (between designs) and a heterogeneity factor (within designs). We will compare the magnitude of heterogeneity between consistency and inconsistency models to determine how much heterogeneity will be explained by inconsistency. We will do this by testing the residual inconsistency, which remains under the assumption of a full design by treatment interaction model for statistical significance.

In the case of statistical heterogeneity or inconsistency between results from individual studies, we will investigate the potential impact of the following trial-level effect modifiers: (1) year of publication, (2) study precision (i.e., sample size), (3) studies reporting non-adjusted vs. adjusted means, (4) studies with imputed standard deviations vs. studies which reported standard deviations. If the number of studies allows it, theoretically driven subgroup analyses will be done according to population (e.g., severity of depression), duration of intervention, duration of follow-up, outcome characteristics (i.e., self- vs. observer ratings, objective vs. subjective sleep duration), and methodological quality.

#### Meta-biases and confidence in cumulative evidence

The confidence in the network meta-analyses will be estimated using the Confidence in Network Meta-Analysis (CINeMA) framework [110]. This includes study

limitation, indirectness, inconsistency (heterogeneity, incoherence), imprecision, and publication bias. Publication bias will be assessed according to the GRADE guideline [123] and by comparing eligible trials identified in registries (e.g., [clinicaltrials.gov](http://clinicaltrials.gov)) with published data. Selective reporting bias will be assessed by comparing protocols (if available) and reports of trials.

#### Dissemination

The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journal and presented at conferences as well as invited talks.

#### Discussion

This systematic review will provide an overview of the current state of evidence concerning the effects of aerobic exercise on sleep in patients with depression. To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first systematic review concerning this topic. The primary outcomes analyzed will provide evidence on the benefits, i.e., duration and perceived quality of sleep, as well as serious harms. Secondary outcomes will provide information on sleep-related constructs such as daytime functioning and sleepiness as well as other adverse outcomes. Furthermore, gaps in the current literature will be identified, and recommendations for future avenues of research will be given. Strengths of this systematic review include the search in multiple databases according to the interdisciplinary nature of the subject, the systematic approach including screening, data extraction, and quality assessment by two independent reviewers, as well as transparency in reporting according to guidelines. The main limitation is the language restriction to German and English which might lead to language bias. Considering the importance of sleep disturbances in depression, we hope that this systematic review can accelerate the consolidation of evidence, such that decision-makers (patients, health-care professionals, and policy-makers) are provided with high-quality evidence to facilitate decisions on whether and how to implement aerobic, resistance, or meditative movement exercises as a treatment module for patients with depression.

#### Current stage of systematic review

PROSPERO stage 1, preliminary searches completed.

#### Additional files

**Additional file 1:** Completed PRISMA-P Checklist. (PDF 345 kb)

**Additional file 2:** Search strategy for PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, Cochrane Library, SportDiscus, CINAHL, OpenGrey, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses, [Clinicaltrials.gov](http://Clinicaltrials.gov), and International Clinical Trials Registry Platform. (PDF 277 kb)

#### Abbreviations

CINeMA: Confidence in Network Meta-Analysis; PICOS: Patient, intervention, comparison, outcome, study design; PRESS: Peer Review of Electronic Search

Strategies guideline; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for systematic review protocols; PROSPERO: International prospective register of systematic reviews; SMD: Standardized mean difference

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### Funding

The majority of work was funded through an industry sponsored PhD, provided by Oberwaid AG, St. Gallen, Switzerland.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

#### Authors' contributions

GB, HG, MW, TZS, DS, HP, MG, RvK, and AST contributed to the design, revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript. GB conceived the study, defined the search strategy, drafted the manuscript, registered the protocol with PROSPERO, and managed the overall project. HG conceived the analysis and helped write the protocol. MW reviewed the search strategy using the PRESS guideline.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

Gavin Brupbacher is funded through an industry-sponsored PhD, provided by Oberwaid AG, St. Gallen, Switzerland. Dr. Doris Straus and Dr. Hildburg Porschke are employed by Oberwaid AG. Arno Schmidt-Trucksäss and Roland von Känel are on the scientific advisory board of the Oberwaid AG. All other authors declare no competing interests.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Division of Sports and Exercise Medicine, Department of Sport, Exercise and Health, University of Basel, Birsstrasse 320 B, 4052 Basel, Switzerland.

<sup>2</sup>Oberwaid AG, Rorschacher Strasse 311, 9016 St. Gallen, Switzerland.

<sup>3</sup>Division of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Faculty of Psychology, University of Basel, Missionsstrasse 62a, 4055 Basel, Switzerland. <sup>4</sup>University Medical Library Basel, Spiegelgasse 5, 4051 Basel, Switzerland. <sup>5</sup>Division of Clinical Psychology and Epidemiology, Faculty of Psychology, University of Basel, Missionsstrasse 62a, 4055 Basel, Switzerland. <sup>6</sup>Division of Sport and Psychosocial Health, Department of Sport, Exercise and Health, University of Basel, Birsstrasse 320 B, 4052 Basel, Switzerland. <sup>7</sup>Department of Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry and Psychosomatic Medicine, University Hospital Zürich, University of Zurich, Culmannstrasse 8, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland.

Received: 17 April 2018 Accepted: 5 April 2019

Published online: 26 April 2019

#### References

- Steel Z, Marnane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, et al. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980-2013. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43:476–93.
- Mathers C, Fat DM, Boerma JT. The global burden of disease: 2004 update: World Health Organization; 2008. [https://books.google.ch/books?hl=de&lr=&id=xrYYZ6Jcfv0C&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Global+Burden+of+Disease+2004+WHO&ots=ta\\_z5g76yl&sig=1GhIU7wGa2f6iKXuCtepij1glDrE](https://books.google.ch/books?hl=de&lr=&id=xrYYZ6Jcfv0C&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=Global+Burden+of+Disease+2004+WHO&ots=ta_z5g76yl&sig=1GhIU7wGa2f6iKXuCtepij1glDrE). Accessed 15 May 2017
- Greenberg PE, Fournier A-A, Sisitsky T, Pike CT, Kessler RC. The economic burden of adults with major depressive disorder in the United States (2005 and 2010). *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015;76:155–62.
- Walker ER, McGee RE, Druss BG. Mortality in mental disorders and global disease burden implications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015;72:334–41.
- Goodell S, Druss BG, Walker ER. Mental disorders and medical comorbidity. Princeton, NJ: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; 2011. [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Elizabeth\\_Walker12/publication/51220912\\_Mental\\_Disorders\\_and\\_Medical\\_Comorbidities/links/0a85e53c973139cf16000000/Mental-Disorders-and-Medical-Comorbidity.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Elizabeth_Walker12/publication/51220912_Mental_Disorders_and_Medical_Comorbidities/links/0a85e53c973139cf16000000/Mental-Disorders-and-Medical-Comorbidity.pdf)
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5). Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association Publishing; 2013.
- World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- Spiegelhalter K, Regen W, Nanovska S, Baglioni C, Riemann D. Comorbid sleep disorders in neuropsychiatric disorders across the life cycle. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2013;15:364.
- Ishak WW, Bagot K, Thomas S, Magakian N, Bedwani D, Larson D, et al. Quality of life in patients suffering from insomnia. *Innov Clin Neurosci*. 2012; 9:13–26.
- Kyle SD, Morgan K, Espie CA. Insomnia and health-related quality of life. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2010;14:69–82.
- Baglioni C, Battagliese G, Feige B, Spiegelhalter K, Nissen C, Voderholzer U, et al. Insomnia as a predictor of depression: a meta-analytic evaluation of longitudinal epidemiological studies. *J Affect Disord*. 2011;135:10–9.
- Bassetti CL, Ferini-Strambi L, Brown S, Adamantidis A, Benedetti F, Bruni O, et al. Neurology and psychiatry: waking up to opportunities of sleep: State of the art and clinical/research priorities for the next decade. *Eur J Neurol*. 2015;22:1337–54.
- Franzen PL, Buysse DJ. Sleep disturbances and depression: risk relationships for subsequent depression and therapeutic implications. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2008;10:473–81.
- Carney CE, Segal ZV, Edinger JD, Krystal AD. A comparison of rates of residual insomnia symptoms following pharmacotherapy or cognitive-behavioral therapy for major depressive disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007;68:254–60.
- van MJG, Hoogendijk WJG, Vogelzangs N, van DR, Penninx BWJH. Insomnia and sleep duration in a large cohort of patients with major depressive disorder and anxiety disorders. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2010;71:239–46.
- Krystal AD. Psychiatric disorders and sleep. *Neurol Clin*. 2012;30:1389–413.
- Nierenberg AA, Husain MM, Trivedi MH, Fava M, Warden D, Wisniewski SR, et al. Residual symptoms after remission of major depressive disorder with citalopram and risk of relapse: a STAR\*D report. *Psychol Med*. 2010;40:41–50.
- Bernert RA, Kim JS, Iwata NG, Perlis ML. Sleep disturbances as an evidence-based suicide risk factor. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2015;17:554.
- Winsper C, Tang NKY. Linkages between insomnia and suicidality: prospective associations, high-risk subgroups and possible psychological mechanisms. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2014;26:189–204.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Depression in adults: recognition and management. 2016. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg90>. Accessed 16 Jan 2018.
- Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB, Scoboria A, Moore TJ, Johnson BT. Initial severity and antidepressant benefits: a meta-analysis of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. *PLoS Med*. 2008;5:e45.
- Arroll B, Elley CR, Fishman T, Goodyear-Smith FA, Kenealy T, Blashki G, et al. Antidepressants versus placebo for depression in primary care. In: *Cochrane database of Systematic reviews*; 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007954>.
- Kishi T, Matsunaga S, Iwata N. Efficacy and tolerability of Z-drug adjunction to antidepressant treatment for major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2017;267:149–61.
- Ho SC, Chong HY, Chaiyakunapruk N, Tangiisuran B, Jacob SA. Clinical and economic impact of non-adherence to antidepressants in major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;193(Supplement C):1–10.
- Gunja N. In the Zzz zone: the effects of Z-drugs on human performance and driving. *J Med Toxicol*. 2013;9:163–71.
- Lai M-M, Lin C-C, Lin C-C, Liu C-S, Li T-C, Kao C-H. Long-term use of zolpidem increases the risk of major injury: a population-based cohort study. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2014;89:589–94.
- Stubbs B, Rosenbaum S, Vancampfort D, Ward PB, Schuch FB. Exercise improves cardiorespiratory fitness in people with depression: a meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;190:249–53.



28. Cooney GM, Dwan K, Greig CA, Lawlor DA, Rimer J, Waugh FR, et al. Exercise for depression. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;(9).
29. Cohen BE, Edmondson D, Kronish IM. State of the art review: depression, stress, anxiety, and cardiovascular disease. *Am J Hypertens.* 2015;28:1295–302.
30. Gan Y, Gong Y, Tong X, Sun H, Cong Y, Dong X, et al. Depression and the risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2014;14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0371-z>.
31. Schuch FB, Deslandes AC, Stubbs B, Gosmann NP, da SCTB, Fleck MP de A. Neurobiological effects of exercise on major depressive disorder: a systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2016;61:1–11.
32. Kvam S, Kleppe CL, Nordhus IH, Hovland A. Exercise as a treatment for depression: a meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2016;202:67–86.
33. Morres ID, Hatzigeorgiadis A, Stathi A, Comoutos N, Arpin-Cribbie C, Krommidas C, Theodorakis Y. Aerobic exercise for adult patients with major depressive disorder in mental health services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety.* 2018;36(1):39–53.
34. Cramer H, Lauche R, Langhorst J, Dobos G. Yoga for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Depress Anxiety.* 2013;30:1068–83.
35. Cramer H, Anheyer D, Lauche R, Dobos G. A systematic review of yoga for major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord.* 2017;213:70–7.
36. Nebiker L, Lichtenstein E, Minghetti A, Zahner L, Gerber M, Faude O, et al. Moderating effects of exercise duration and intensity in neuromuscular vs. endurance exercise interventions for the treatment of depression: a meta-analytical review. *Front Psychiatry.* 2018;9:305.
37. Oh B, Choi SM, Inamori A, Rosenthal D, Yeung A. Effects of qigong on depression: a systemic review. *Evid-Based Complement Altern Med ECAM.* 2013;2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/134737>.
38. Chi I, Jordan-Marsh M, Guo M, Xie B, Bai Z. Tai chi and reduction of depressive symptoms for older adults: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2013;13:3–12.
39. Kredlow MA, Capozzoli MC, Hearon BA, Calkins AW, Otto MW. The effects of physical activity on sleep: a meta-analytic review. *J Behav Med.* 2015;38:427–49.
40. Lang C, Kalak N, Brand S, Holsboer-Trachsler E, Pühse U, Gerber M. The relationship between physical activity and sleep from mid adolescence to early adulthood. A systematic review of methodological approaches and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2015;28:28–41.
41. Yang P-Y, Ho K-H, Chen H-C, Chien M-Y. Exercise training improves sleep quality in middle-aged and older adults with sleep problems: a systematic review. *Aust J Phys.* 2012;58:157–63.
42. Passos GS, Poyares DLR, Santana MG, Tufik S, de Mello MT. Is exercise an alternative treatment for chronic insomnia? *Clinics.* 2012;67:653–60.
43. Banno M, Harada Y, Taniguchi M, Tobita R, Tsujimoto H, Tsujimoto Y, et al. Exercise can improve sleep quality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PeerJ.* 2018;6:e5172.
44. Iftikhar IH, Kline CE, Youngstedt SD. Effects of exercise training on sleep apnea: a meta-analysis. *Lung.* 2014;192:175–84.
45. Aiello KD, Caughey WG, Nelluri B, Sharma A, Mookadam F, Mookadam M. Effect of exercise training on sleep apnea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Med.* 2016;116:85–92.
46. Mendelson M, Bailly S, Marillier M, Flore P, Borel JC, Vivodtzev I, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, objectively measured physical activity and exercise training interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:73.
47. Mercier J, Savard J, Bernard P. Exercise interventions to improve sleep in cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2017;36:43–56.
48. Song Y-Y, Hu R-J, Diao Y-S, Chen L, Jiang X-L. Effects of exercise training on restless legs syndrome, depression, sleep quality, and fatigue among hemodialysis patients: a Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pain Symptom Manag.* 2018;55:1184–95.
49. Zou L, Yeung A, Quan X, Boyden SD, Wang H. A Systematic Review and meta-analysis of mindfulness-based (Baduanjin) exercise for alleviating musculoskeletal pain and improving sleep quality in people with chronic diseases. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2018;15(2):206. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15020206>
50. Kelley GA, Kelley KS. Exercise and sleep: a systematic review of previous meta-analyses. *J Evid-Based Med.* 2017;10:26–36.
51. Kovacevic A, Mavros Y, Heisz JJ, Fiatarone Singh MA. The effect of resistance exercise on sleep: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2018;39:52–68.
52. Wang F, Eun-Kyoung Lee O, Feng F, Vitiello MV, Wang W, Benson H, et al. The effect of meditative movement on sleep quality: a systematic review. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2016;30:43–52.
53. Wu W-W, Kwong E, Lan X-Y, Jiang X-Y. The effect of a meditative movement intervention on quality of sleep in the elderly: a Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Altern Complement Med N Y N.* 2015;21:509–19.
54. Baglioni C, Spiegelhalter K, Lombardo C, Riemann D. Sleep and emotions: a focus on insomnia. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2010;14:227–38.
55. Stepanski EJ, Rybarczyk B. Emerging research on the treatment and etiology of secondary or comorbid insomnia. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2006;10:7–18.
56. Harvey AG. A cognitive model of insomnia. *Behav Res Ther.* 2002;40:869–93.
57. Buman MP, King AC. Exercise as a treatment to enhance sleep. *Am J Lifestyle Med.* 2010;4:500–14.
58. Uchida S, Shioda K, Morita Y, Kubota C, Ganeko M, Takeda N. Exercise effects on sleep physiology. *Front Neurol.* 2012;3:48.
59. Lack LC, Gradirar M, Van Someren EJW, Wright HR, Lushington K. The relationship between insomnia and body temperatures. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2008;12:307–17.
60. Formenti D, Ludwig N, Gargano M, Gondola M, Dellerma N, Caumo A, et al. Thermal imaging of exercise-associated skin temperature changes in trained and untrained female subjects. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2013;41:863–71.
61. Kuan S-C, Chen K-M, Wang C. Effectiveness of qigong in promoting the health of wheelchair-bound older adults in long-term care facilities. *Biol Res Nurs.* 2012;14:139–46.
62. Neves EB, Vilaca-Alves J, Antunes N, Felisberto IMV, Rosa C, Reis VM. Different responses of the skin temperature to physical exercise: Systematic review. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2015;2015:1307–10.
63. Weigert M, Nitzsche N, Kunert F, Lösch C, Baumgärtel L, Schulz H. Acute exercise-associated skin surface temperature changes after resistance training with different exercise intensities. *Int J Kinesiol Sports Sci.* 2018;6:12–8.
64. Iuliano B, Grahm D, Cao V, Zhao B, Rose J. Physiologic correlates of tai chi chuan. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2011;17:77–81.
65. Van Someren EJ. More than a marker: interaction between the circadian regulation of temperature and sleep, age-related changes, and treatment possibilities. *Chronobiol Int.* 2000;17:313–54.
66. Moldoveanu AI, Shephard RJ, Shek PN. The cytokine response to physical activity and training. *Sports Med.* 2001;31:115–44.
67. Wideman L, Weltman JY, Hartman ML, Veldhuis JD, Weltman A. Growth hormone release during acute and chronic aerobic and resistance exercise: recent findings. *Sports Med.* 2002;32:987–1004.
68. Lee MS, Kim MK, Ryu H. Qi-training (qigong) enhanced immune functions: what is the underlying mechanism? *Int J Neurosci.* 2005;115:1099–104.
69. Lee M, Moon W, Kim J. Effect of yoga on pain, brain-derived neurotrophic factor, and serotonin in premenopausal women with chronic low Back pain. *Evid-Based Complement Altern Med.* 2014;2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/203173>.
70. Sungkarat S, Boripuntakul S, Kumfu S, Lord SR, Chattipakorn N. Tai Chi improves cognition and plasma BDNF in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2018;32:142–9.
71. Dinoff A, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Lancôt KL. The effect of acute exercise on blood concentrations of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in healthy adults: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2017;46:1635–46.
72. Santos RVT, Tufik S, De Mello MT. Exercise, sleep and cytokines: is there a relation. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2007;11:231–9.
73. Kotronoulas G, Stamatakis A, Stylianopoulou F. Hormones, hormonal agents, and neuropeptides involved in the neuroendocrine regulation of sleep in humans. *Hormones (Athens).* 2009;8:232–48.
74. Monteiro BC, Monteiro S, Candida M, Adler N, Paes F, Rocha N, et al. Relationship between brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Bdnf) and sleep on depression: a critical review. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health.* 2017;13:213–9.
75. Zou L, Yeung A, Li C, Wei G-X, Chen KW, Kinser PA, et al. Effects of meditative movements on major depressive disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Med.* 2018;7(8):195. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm7080195>
76. Siegel JM. Clues to the functions of mammalian sleep. *Nature.* 2005;437:1264–71.
77. Edwards BJ, Reilly T, Waterhouse J. Zeitgeber-effects of exercise on human circadian rhythms: what are alternative approaches to investigating the existence of a phase-response curve to exercise? *Biol Rhythm Res.* 2009;40:53–69.

78. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev*. 2015;4:1.
79. Booth A, Clarke M, Dooley G, Ghersi D, Moher D, Petticrew M, et al. The nuts and bolts of PROSPERO: an international prospective register of systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2012;1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-1-2>
80. Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, Chaimani A, Schmid CH, Cameron C, et al. The PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network meta-analyses of health care interventions: checklist and explanations. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;162:777–84.
81. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. *BDI-II Manual*. New York: Psychological Corporation; 1996.
82. Spitzer RL, Endicott J, Robins E. Research diagnostic criteria: rationale and reliability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1978;35:773–82.
83. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. *Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report, 2008*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2008.
84. Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, Minson CT, Nigg CR, Salem GJ, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2009;41:1510–30.
85. Larkey L, Jahnke R, Etnier J, Gonzalez J. Meditative movement as a category of exercise: implications for research. *J Phys Act Health*. 2009;6:230–8.
86. McAuley L, Pham B, Tugwell P, Moher D. Does the inclusion of grey literature influence estimates of intervention effectiveness reported in meta-analyses? *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2000;356:1228–31.
87. Hopewell S, McDonald S, Clarke M, Egger M. Grey literature in meta-analyses of randomized trials of health care interventions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007;2:MR000010.
88. van Driel ML, De Sutter A, De Maeseneer J, Christiaens T. Searching for unpublished trials in Cochrane reviews may not be worth the effort. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2009;62:838–844.e3.
89. Higgins J, Green S, Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D, editors. Chapter 9: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 2011*; Available from [www.handbook.cochrane.org](http://www.handbook.cochrane.org): The Cochrane Collaboration. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook>. (updated March 2011)
90. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016;75:40–6.
91. Rathbone J, Carter M, Hoffmann T, Glasziou P. Better duplicate detection for systematic reviewers: evaluation of Systematic review assistant-deduplication module. *Syst Rev*. 2015;4:6.
92. Berlin JA. Does blinding of readers affect the results of meta-analyses? University of Pennsylvania Meta-analysis Blinding Study Group. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 1997;350:185–6.
93. Veritas Health Innovation. *Covidence systematic review software*. Melbourne. Available at [www.covidence.org](http://www.covidence.org)
94. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochem Med*. 2012;22:276–82.
95. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100>.
96. Cochrane Consumers and Communication Review Group. Data extraction template for Cochrane reviews. 2011. <https://cccr.cochrane.org/author-resources>. Accessed 16 Jan 2018.
97. Pedder H, Sarri G, Keeney E, Nunes V, Dias S. Data extraction for complex meta-analysis (DECIMAL) guide. *Syst Rev*. 2016;5:212.
98. Higgins J, Green S, editors. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0*. West Sussex: Wiley; 2011. <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook>
99. Lipsey MW, Wilson DB. *Practical meta-analysis*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2001.
100. Furukawa TA, Barbui C, Cipriani A, Brambilla P, Watanabe N. Imputing missing standard deviations in meta-analyses can provide accurate results. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2006;59:7–10.
101. Higgins JPT, Savović J, Page M, Sterne J, On behalf of the RoB2 Development Group. Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2). A revised tool to assess risk of bias in randomized trials (RoB 2). 2018. <http://www.riskofbias.info>. Accessed 18 Dec 2018.
102. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res*. 1989;28:193–213.
103. Morin CM, Belleville G, Bélanger L, Ivers H. The insomnia severity index: psychometric indicators to detect insomnia cases and evaluate treatment response. *Sleep*. 2011;34:601–8.
104. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1960;23:56.
105. Hoelscher TJ, Ware JC, Bond T. Initial validation of the insomnia impact scale. *Sleep Res*. 1993;22:149.
106. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. *Sleep*. 1991;14:540–5.
107. International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH Harmonized Tripartite-Guideline for Good Clinical Practice – E6(R1). 1996. [https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public\\_Web\\_Site/ICH\\_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6/E6\\_R1\\_Guideline.pdf](https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6/E6_R1_Guideline.pdf). Accessed 16 Jan 2018.
108. Morin CM. Measuring outcomes in randomized clinical trials of insomnia treatments. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2003;7:263–79.
109. Sandlund C, Westman J, Hetta J. Factors associated with self-reported need for treatment of sleeping difficulties: a survey of the general Swedish population. *Sleep Med*. 2016;22:65–74.
110. Salanti G, Del Giovane C, Chaimani A, Caldwell DM, Higgins JPT. Evaluating the quality of evidence from a network meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2014;9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099682>.
111. Rucker G, Schwarzer G, Krahn U, König J. netmeta: Network meta-analysis with R. 2018. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/netmeta/index.html>.
112. R Development Core Team. R. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2017. <http://www.R-project.org>
113. Georgia S. Indirect and mixed-treatment comparison, network, or multiple-treatments meta-analysis: many names, many benefits, many concerns for the next generation evidence synthesis tool. *Res Synth Methods*. 2012;3:80–97.
114. Jansen JP, Naci H. Is network meta-analysis as valid as standard pairwise meta-analysis? It all depends on the distribution of effect modifiers. *BMC Med*. 2013;11:159.
115. Hedges LV. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *J Educ Stat*. 1981;6:107–28.
116. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2nd ed. Hillsdale: Routledge; 1988.
117. Sawilowsky S. New effect size rules of thumb. *J Mod Appl Stat Methods*. 2009;8:597–9.
118. Rucker G. Network meta-analysis, electrical networks and graph theory. *Res Synth Methods*. 2012;3:312–24.
119. Rucker G, Schwarzer G. Reduce dimension or reduce weights? Comparing two approaches to multi-arm studies in network meta-analysis. *Stat Med*. 2014;33:4353–69.
120. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-analysis. *J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc*. 2009;172:137–59.
121. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327:557–60.
122. Orestis E, Debray Thomas PA, Gert V, Sven T, Klea P, Moons Karel GM, et al. GetReal in network meta-analysis: a review of the methodology. *Res Synth Methods*. 2016;7:236–63.
123. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Montori V, Vist G, Kunz R, Brozek J, et al. GRADE guidelines: 5. Rating the quality of evidence—publication bias. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64:1277–82.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](http://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

